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MS. MILLMAN: Items number 6 and 7 will be heard concurrently as they're related to the same project. A separate motion will have to be called for each item. Item number 6 is case number VTT-73718-1A. Item 7 is number CPC-2014-4705-ZC-HD-DB-MCUP-CU-SPR. The environmental case number is ENV-2014-4706-EIR; SCH No. 2015111073. The project is located at 1756-1760 North Argyle and various addresses within Council District 13.

Commissioners, please note that technical modification was submitted for items number 6 and 7 and was e-mailed to all commissioners. Cecilia, are there any day of submissions for this item?

MS. LAMAS: Cecilia Lamas for the record. Commissioners, I would like to direct you to the CPC shared drive. We do have day-of submissions for this item. For members of the public, compliant day-of submissions can be viewed in the shared drive by clicking on the link located on the top of the first page of the agenda. And you can also view the technical modification for this item in the folder. Thank you.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you. At this time, we are going to hear from City Planning Staff Alan Como.

Alan, please go ahead.
MR. COMO: Good morning, Commissioners. I am going to share my screen now and pull up the
presentation. Can you all see the presentation? MS. MILLMAN: Yes, we can. MR. COMO: Great. I am going to try and run through this quickly and thoroughly, but $I$ do need 15 minutes, approximately 15 minutes. I understand that's a long time, but there are three appeals. I want to walk through some design changes, and I also want to make sure $I$ address the technical modifications.

MS. MILLMAN: Please go ahead.
MR. COMO: Thank you. I'm going to move to slide 2 at this time. Before you today is what is known as the 6220 Yucca project, which is located at the southeast corner of Yucca Street and Argyle Avenue in Hollywood shown in the rendering here in the center. The project proposes to demolish four existing buildings on site, retain two non-contributors and develop a new mixed-use project.

Slide 3 is a map of the project site and the surrounding. The site is located in Hollywood, less than a quarter of a mile from the Hollywood Vine Metro station. The surrounding area as you can see is characterized by buildings -- well, you'll see when I get to the 3D view, but it's characterized by buildings of varied heights, uses, and architectural styles. It is -- the 101 freeway is just to the north of the
project site.
Slide 4 shows current conditions. The project site is currently developed with four low-rise multifamily residential buildings. You can see those on the top two images there as well as two single-family structures which are non-contributing structures to the Carlos Vista Del Mar eligible historical district. You can see those on the lower left-hand corner image, and a small surface parking lot as well in the lower left-hand corner.

Moving on to slide 5, so slide 5 shows a bird's eye view of the site. You can see that there are various mid- and high-rise buildings in the vicinity. To the north of the project site directly across Yucca Street is the 16 -story Kimpton Everly Hotel as well as a 3-story multifamily apartment. To the west across Argyle Avenue is the 16 -story 85 -unit project known as the Argyle House. It's a mixed-use project, as well as Capital Records beyond that.

To the south is a vacant lot that is densely populated with trees. And just to the south of that, those are approximately 6 to 7 -story mixed-use buildings there, which would be at the corner of the northeast corner of Argyle and Hollywood. And then you can see to the east is where Vista Del Mar is, and those are mainly

1 and 2 -story single-family homes.
Okay. Slide 7 shows the requested -- sorry, slide 6 shows the surrounding zoning and land use designation, land use on the left. You can see that the site is outlined in blue, and it has two land uses on the site, Regional Center commercial in the red and medium residential in the orange. On the right is the zoning for the site. So the project site has three zones currently. The C4-2DSN zone I will refer to as the west parcel. The center parcel is $R 4-2 D$, and the east parcel is QR3-1XL.

The D limitation on the west and center parcel limits the site to an $F A R$ of 2.1 , unless certain requirements of the Hollywood Redevelopment Plan are met. And the $Q$ condition on the $R 3$ portion limits that portion of the site to a residential density of one dwelling unit per 1200 square feet of lot area. The FN indicates that the Hollywood Signage Supplemental Use District applies to that parcel.

Okay. Now, I'll move on to slide 7. Slide 7 shows the requested entitlements for the project. It does include a vesting tentative tract map to merge and re-subdivide the lots into a master ground lot and five air space lots. The decision of the Deputy Advisory Agency to approve that map was appealed, and that case
is before you today.
The project is also requesting a zone change and height district change to go from C4-2D-SN to C2-2D-SN, the center parcel from R4-2D to C2-2D. That would bring that parcel in conformity with the general plan land use designation of regional commercial for that site. And QR3-1XL to R3-2D. The D limitation, if approved, would ultimately allow for a total of 6.6 to 1 FAR, which would be averaged across the entire site.

They are also requesting a density bonus for housing development with 271 units. Eight percent of that which is 17 units would be set aside for very low income households. And would include an incentive request to increase the $F A R$ by ten percent to go from 6 to 1 to the requested 6.6 to 1 .

I do want to point out, of the 271 total dwelling units that would be on the project site, 252 of those dwelling units would be subject to the Rent Stabilization Ordinance. I'll refer to that as the RSO. They are also requesting a master conditional use permit for onsite alcohol sales for three establishments, a conditional use permit for live entertainment, and site plan review.

Slide 8 now is the project description. The project would include a new 30 story mixed-use
development. That building would contain 269 residential units, 17 of which would be set aside for low income, and 7,760 square feet of commercial restaurant use and a five-level parking podium with one fully subterranean level. It would also include the preservation of two existing single family -- single family in architectural style and size.

They are 1 and 2-story buildings on Vista Del Mar. The preservation of those in addition to the 269 new units in the new 30 -story building would bring the total project units to 271. And the project is also Environmental Leadership Development project. I will discuss what that means in a little bit. The applicant also has much more to discuss on that in their presentation.

Okay. Slide 9 shows the ground floor site plan with uses that are color coded. The project would include ground floor commercial at the corner of Yucca and Argyle. You can see that that is designated as Restaurant 1 as well as two other restaurants along Yucca Street.

The residential hotel -- sorry, the residential lobby would be located in the in the center of the building on Yucca Street and vehicle access would be provided from one driveway located on Argyle Avenue. I
used the red arrow on the left-hand side of that page to indicate approximately where that would be. The project also proposes a new park space, a ground level park at the corner of Yucca Street and Vista Del Mar. Then lastly, the two -- the yellow block indicates the two existing buildings that would be preserved as part of the project.

Slide 10 now shows the parking plans. I'll just run through this quickly. You can see in the upper right-hand corner which is the first level and the lower left-hand corner which is the second level, that the -from the street view, the parking is screened with active uses, that's the restaurant uses. The upper right-hand corner, which is the first level, you can see that red arrow again indicating where the driveway entrance would be.

The site does slope on Yucca to the west. So going westward towards Argyle it slopes down the hill, and it slopes southward going down Argyle towards Hollywood. So there is one fully subterranean level there in the upper left. And then the first level and second level, and then you can see the third through fifth levels of the parking podium there. It may be clear once you see the renderings and elevations.

Okay. This is slide 11. It shows the sixth
floor which is the first residential level of the project. It is also the main amenity level which contains a 14,720 square foot amenity deck, including an open space terrace, a pool deck, and indoor amenities such as a lounge and a gym.

Slide 12 shows typical floor plans for floors 8 through 26.

Slide 13 shows floors 27 through 29. You can see here that these floors accommodate some of the larger units. The project -- those suites are three-bedroom units, so the project includes one, two, and three-bedroom units.

This is slide 14 now. It is a composite view of the landscaping for the project. Outdoor open space is provided at floor 6 and 30. You can see where it says "roof top deck." That is towards the south, and that is what would be floor 30 as well as a ground level public open space provided at the corner of Yucca and Vista Del Mar where there is currently a surface parking lot.

Now, it shows the northern elevation, which faces Yucca Street and Argyle is on the right-hand side of the image. I will walk through some of these designs in a little more detail, and the applicant has plenty more detail to add as well.

Slide 16 shows the south elevation, so you can see the southern elevation there. Again, the lot directly to the south is currently vacant.

This is the -- slide 17 now is the west elevation. This is the view from Argyle. You can see there at the lower -- almost center of the picture is the entrance to the parking garage, and you can see the slope of the site there.

And then this slide 18 is the east elevation. This is the view from Vista Del Mar. You can see the two non-contributing structures which will remain there in the lower center of the portion of this picture.

Slide 19, I just wanted to give you a street view of those two structures, which will remain. You can see those there and the parking lot to the right-hand side of this picture.

Slide 20 contains information regarding the project status as a Certified Environmental Leadership Development Project or ELDP. The project would be subject to high environmental standards for building efficiency as well as requirements for prevailing wage and economic investment. The project was certified by the Governor on July 26, 2017. The applicant will, again, go into more detail about some of these things. I'll leave that up just for a second in case you want to
take a look at what those are, and I can go back at any time.

Now, I would like to walk through the design progression on slide 21.

We are now on slide 22. The image on the left shows the original project that was proposed as part of the draft EIR. It includes the building as an L-shaped building. And then on the very far left of the image, if you squint and focus your eyes, you can see a 2 to 3 -story smaller structure there that was proposed to be constructed along Vista Del Mar.

That would have been on the location of the parking lot and those two non-contributing structures. The image on the right now represents the design of what is described as Modified Alternative 2. It was contemplated in the draft EIR under Alternative 2 but was further modified so as to not construct the small building along Vista Del Mar, thereby preserving the two non-contributing structures, and instead would concentrate all of the development into one single-story tower.

Moving on to slide 23. I want to walk through some of the design changes that were made to the parking podium. So Major Projects staff and Urban Design Studio staff, we met together and with the project team
multiple times after publication of the final EIR to continue to improve the design of the podium.

So staff worked closely with the applicant and their team to break down the massing. You can see the top image here represents what was proposed under modified Alternative 2 before staff began working with the applicant on these design changes. And the bottom, which I've labeled "current," is the current proposal that is before you.

So the staff worked with the applicant to break down the massing, create more visual interest, and improve the ground floor pedestrian environment. The applicant is going to speak to some of these design issues as well but Planning Staff wanted to point out that we work with them to introduce multiple materials, different openings, changes in depth, and incorporate more elements of the design of the tower facade into the parking garage facade so they would not be so disparate in their design.

As you'll see from this image, this image and the images to follow, that the podium level includes the same blue and green glass that is in the tower element. It employs them on the podium to both screen the parking as well as allow for natural ventilation and create design interest. The concrete panels that you see are
similar to the exposed concrete on the underside of the towers' residential balconies. And there are additionally accent elements that have been added to the residential -- above the residential lobby entrance and at the corner of Yucca and Argyle. These are proposed by the applicant to be artistic murals. I think they're going to speak in more detail about what those will be. Moving on to slide 24. This is now the south elevation. You can see the dramatic difference from the design in the top image which was mainly a blank facade to the bottom image which is the current proposal. That includes -- it's kind of a highly designed facade including glass, concrete panels, as well as green screens.

So now on to slide 25. It shows the western facade on the left two images and the eastern facade on the right two images. As you can see, the eastern facade contains some green screens there. That would help soften the facade to the residential structures, those two that are remaining as well as compliment the new public open space that's proposed at the corner. Okay.

Moving on to slide 26. This includes a brief summary of the three appeals that were submitted. The appeal points raised issues regarding the removal of RSO
units and the right to return for existing tenants. Also, they brought up issues with the EIR -- impacts within the EIR findings, mitigation measures, and seismic geological issues as well as tract map issues.

Okay. Now for the recommended actions, moving on to slides 27 and now 28. Staff -- Planning Staff recommended that the City Planning Commission deny the appeals of vesting tentative tract 73718-1A and uphold the decision of the Deputy Advisory Agency, find that the project was assessed in a previously certified Yucca 6220 project EIR, approve and recommend that the City Council approve a zone change and height district change, approve a density bonus compliance review with the menu incentive to increase the floor area across the site by 10 percent, approve a master conditional use permit for alcohol sales, approve a conditional use permit for live entertainment and dancing, and approve a site plan review.

I'm going to leave it on this slide real quick. I do want to address the technical modification. So you have before you a technical modification. One is for item 6 which is the vesting tentative tract 73718. It involves tract map conditions from the Bureau of Engineering. At the tract hearing, BOE asked for a five-foot sidewalk easement along Argyle and a six-foot
sidewalk easement along Yucca Avenue to be provided on the applicant's property.

However, the existing conditions for both of these streets meet the mobility plans requirements for roadway width and right-of-way width. They are fully dedicated and improved to mobility requirements except for the plan requirements for a 12 -foot wide sidewalk. This modification that's before you removes the requirement that the easement be placed on the applicant's property and instead allows for the applicant to construct the full 12 -foot wide sidewalk within the existing public right-of-way.

There's a modification for item 7, which is CPC-2014-4705, and it involves changes to the conditions that uses an incorrect date for Exhibit $A$ and a condition that referenced an incorrect code section. That code section was for hotel conversions, which this project does not include. So that condition has been corrected to reference the rent stabilization ordinance instead.

Lastly, I do want to point out that prior to today's hearing, Planning Staff received a letter of opposition from the United Hollywood Neighborhood Council, and we also received via e-mail 22 letters of support. So this concludes my presentation, and I will
be available during the meeting for any questions that might come up. Thank you very much.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you so much.
Commissioners, are there any technical
questions at this time? I see none. We will begin with the appellant's presentation. We have three appellants on this item: Susan Hunter, Kate Unger, and Jim McQuiston. Each appellant will have five minutes to present their appeal. So we will hear first from appellant Susan Hunter.

Susan, please state your name clearly, and then proceed with your presentation. You will hear a message that states you are unmuted, or if you're jointing us via Zoom this morning, please unmute yourself. Again, you will have five minutes.

MS. HUNTER: Thank you very much,
Commissioners. Can you hear me Okay?
MS. MILLMAN: Loud and clear. Thank you.
MS. HUNTER: Excellent. Good morning,
Commissioners. I just want to say thank you for your time and your consideration. I want to point out that we have a documented surplus of market-rate housing in Hollywood. What we do not have is a city-wide effort to ensure that people are not becoming displaced due to the market-rate housing construction.

The EIR attached to this project, it paints a picture of bringing the RSO housing in its newly constructed units, but it's conveniently skipping over acknowledging the tenants who currently live there. This should make it very clear that the developer does not care about what happens to them and in fact has refused to acknowledge that the current tenants even exist.

You will hear from the developer's representatives that there's a private agreement for a right of return. There is not. There has been talk of it for years, but there has never been a plan that has actually come to fruition. In fact, the developer's representative DLA Piper has a history of promising to take care of tenants only to then turn around and evict them any way that they can. This has happened at 5825 Sunset Boulevard where an (indiscernible) used for a hotel was applied to an already existing residential certificate of occupancy so that all 52 units were now both hotel and housing at the same time.

A special use was granted on a promise that none of the tenants would ever be evicted and Jerry Neuman himself of DLA Piper served eviction notices to the tenants on Christmas. This also happened at the Crossroads project where this commission board found
that the developer would be required to have a plan for a right of return as a condition of approval on that project.

Not only did they never create the plan, but they served the evictions. The evictions were served in August again putting the tenants out on Christmas. We're now in court suing to make sure that those tenants do have a clear right of return and the developer and their representative have fought tooth and nail to make sure that they don't.

Based on the actions of the developer's representatives, DLA Piper, I am asking that a condition of approval be applied to the tract map or the case that a condition that requires that the tenants have an approval process for a right of return plan. It's not enough to leave it up to the developer and the council office.

Because if a plan is never created, then it's still on the tenants to have to sue. So if this is about the claims that they really want to be a part of the community like they claim they do, then working with the tenants for a real plan of return and what will happen to them in the EIR shouldn't be that hard to do, but clearly it is, and that's why we are here today having to take time to talk about this.

So please, based on the history of the representative and what is now a health and safety issue, please apply as a condition of approval a plan for a right or return and the interim time during construction that must be formulated with the tenants directly. And in fact, a plan was submitted along with this appeal.

I would ask that that be adopted as a framework to help protect the 25 families that currently live on those sites and right now have no idea what's going to be happening to them in the near future. All they're hearing is potentially a hotel, demolition, you're going to be gone, and that's not okay anymore. Because if we're going to continue to build all this market-rate housing in Hollywood, who are we building it for if it's not for our community? Thank you for your time.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you very much. At this time, we are going to hear from the second appellant, Kate Unger.

Kate, please state your name clearly and then proceed with your presentation. You'll hear a message that states you are unmuted, or if you're participating via Zoom, you will see a request for you to unmute yourself, and you will also have five minutes to address the Commission.

MS. UNGER: Thank you, President Millman and Commissioners. This is Kathleen Unger with Advocates for the Environment, a public interest law firm, representing the AIDS Healthcare Foundation. Our issues with the project center on two areas: First, the toll that it will take on the affordable RSO housing stock in the area and on long-term residents of the building that will be demolished.

And second, on the significant environmental impacts of the project and deficiencies of the environmental analysis and mitigation. The AIDS Healthcare Foundation objects to the demolition of existing rent stabilized housing which displace existing tenants, disrupts their lives, and risks them falling into homelessness and which also results in the loss of affordable homes.

The units that would be created by the project would be mostly market rates and would be priced out of reach of the existing tenants and many residents of the region who desperately need affordable housing. The fact that the new units will be RSO units doesn't address this concern. The staff's response to AHF appeal points, particularly 1 and 7 , say that the current RSO units on the project site aren't affordable units, claiming that affordable units are units that are
covenanted to be affordable, but affordable units will be demolished.

We use the term in the common sense meaning that working class residents can afford to live in them. To say the existing units aren't affordable units elevates form over substance. Of course these units that will be lost are affordable. And it's simply not true that this project will result in an increase in affordable units at the project site.

Although we believe the project shouldn't go forward, if it does, there should be conditions of approval to guarantee current tenants a relocation package and a right to return, as Susan Hunter described.

In addition to these concerns about housing, AHF is concerned about the many deficiencies in the environmental review for the project. These detail many of these deficiencies in our comment letter and our appeal justification. I'll touch on a couple of them in these comments. First, the EIR's analysis includes some project design features that are really mitigation measures including these as project design features and not evaluating the significance of the project impact before applying the mitigations violates CEQA.

Second, the EIR's analysis of greenhouse gas
impact is deficient in several ways. The EIR incorrectly concludes that the project's greenhouse gas impact aren't cumulatively considerable. The EIR relies on the PDF GHG-1, which requires offsets --

MS. MILLMAN: Two minutes remaining.
MS. UNGER: -- which requires offsets for the project's greenhouse gas emissions, but the EIR is required to analyze impacts before offsets are applied, not wait until afterwards. The analysis also fails to acknowledge that to be consistent with statewide greenhouse gas reduction goals, new development projects have to reduce emissions more than an average prorata amount to make up for existing housing that has higher emissions.

Also, the EIR didn't include analysis of hazardous materials even though the project involves demolition of structures that very likely could contain asbestos and lead-based paint. These are serious toxins that could affect people near the project site. The EIR should have included analysis of these impacts to allow for a fully informed decision about the project.

Also, the EIR presents inadequate analysis of noise impact. The analysis of existing ambient noise levels is incomplete and inadequate. This means the EIR doesn't accurately analyze the significance of the
project's noise impact. And the EIR's discussion of noise mitigation is inadequate. When a project has significant and unavoidable impact, the EIR is required to consider all feasible mitigations that could reduce those impacts. That didn't happen in this case.

So for all these reasons and the reasons set out in our written appeal justification, the AIDS Healthcare Foundation opposes the project. We ask the commission to grant AHF appeal and the VTT-73718 case direct facts to prepare an EIR that complies with CEQA, overturn the rules by the Advisory Agency and not approve the requested entitlements in the CPC-2014-4705 case. Thank you very much.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you. We will now hear from our third appellant, Mr. McQuiston. Please state your name clearly, and then proceed with your presentation. You will hear a message that states you are unmuted if you're calling in. If you're participating via Zoom, please unmute yourself, and you will also have five minutes to address the Commission.

MS. LAMAS: Commissioner Millman, Cecilia Lamas for the record. We have not been able to identify the third appellant. I did speak with him last week. He was unsure whether he would participate or not due to the fact that he can only dial in, but he did urge the

Commission to reference his fax that was sent with the 48-hour correspondence. But if you'd like to announce maybe possibly he's dialing in from another number.

MS. MILLMAN: Okay. Mr. McQuiston, if you are dialing in will you please press star nine so we can identify you? It does not appear that he is here. So we will go ahead and move forward. I am -- we do have a member of the public. I don't know if Luis is with Mr. McQuiston. Luis S, are you --

LUIS: Hello?
MS. MILLMAN: Yes, are you with Mr. McQuiston?
LUIS: Yes, I am one of the neighbors here and he asked me to read this statement because he could not be here due to not being able to call in.

MS. MILLMAN: Okay. Let's put five minutes on.
LUIS: Okay. Give me one second. This is in addition to statement of JH McQuiston after earthquake and seismic disruption on site.
"Honorable Commissioners, the earthquake of last week produced a substantial reaction to its $S$-wave at the subject site. Auxiliary motion exceeded 3 feet for a period of several seconds, apparently demolished the sewer lines serving eight apartments.

The sewer was cracked several years ago and the developer did not repair the damage which regularly
caused this raw sewage to flow on the surface of parking and sidewalk of Hollywood Boulevard. This developer was cited for 40 Code violations at this property, sewer break not included and taken to hearing because developer did not correct the violations. No prior owner was so disrespectful of fixing Code violations.

The sewer damage could have been repaired over two years ago when it became noxious and flowing. The developer did not do so then or now. Attached is a photo showing the waste including raw feces littering where people must walk to go to their carport. This developer is apparently a slum lord. Be aware. I was just in receipt of the report of the VTT hearing, which I was not allowed to hear or be present by the City in contravention of my civil right to do so.

The report failed to address the mandatory process by which properties mapped for Alquist-Priolo law must be addressed. Because the applying official failed to reveal the discrepancy, it is the duty of the Commissioners to send this matter back for proper review by planning. That is why the charter requires commissioners to stop unlawful acts by City employees charged with obeying due process of law.

Respectfully submitted, JH McQuiston." Thank you.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you very much.
MS. LAMAS: Cecilia Lamas for the record.
Commissioner Millman, is it possible to see if we can get that mailed to CPC@LACity.org to enter that into the record?

MS. MILLMAN: Yes, please.
Luis, if you could please e-mail that to CPC@LACity.org so it is a matter of public record, we would appreciate it.

LUIS: Yeah, can you repeat that again?
MS. MILLMAN: CPC@LACity.org.
LUIS: You got it.
MS. MILLMAN: Thank you so much. We will now hear from the applicant's representative. Let's unmute the representative and see how much time they will need. You can have up to 15 minutes to address the appeals and additional time, if necessary, to present your case.

MS. CASPER: Good morning, Commissioner
Millman. This is Kyndra Casper for the applicant. Can you hear me?

MS. MILLMAN: We can. Thank you.
MS. CASPER: Wonderful. We would like to request 20 minutes, please.

MS. MILLMAN: Great. Just remember that in addressing the appeals, please keep it to 15.

MS. CASPER: Okay. Can $I$ just have a moment to share my screen so $I$ can get the presentation going before the time starts please? Thank you.

MS. MILLMAN: Go ahead. Your time will start once your screen is shared.

MS. CASPER: Can everyone see the presentation?
MS. MILLMAN: Yes.
MS. CASPER: Excellent. Good morning, Honorable Commissioners. My name is Kyndra Casper of DLA Piper, and I represent the applicant. First I'd like to thank the planning department, and particularly Mr. Alan Como who has done a wonderful job, and we appreciate all his time and efforts into this case. I will be moving on to slide number 2 .

Slide number 2 is a slide depicting the project site. The project site is located within a transit priority area within close walking distance of multiple transit options, including being within only 600 feet of the Hollywood and Vine Red Line and approximately 1500 feet of Metro Local 2, a cross-town bus line that runs from Pacific Palisades to Downtown Los Angeles.

Moving to slide 3. Slide 3 is an outline of the project site as you guys saw in Mr. Como's presentation earlier. This depicts the parcels and the zoning of each parcel. And you can see on the east side
in two of the outlines in the blue boxes, the two houses that are the non-contributing structures to the Historic District.

Moving on to slide 4. Slide 4 is a rendering of the project that was presented in the draft EIR. It was a -- included two buildings, one was a mixed-use building with residential, hotel, and commercial uses. And the second building was a low-rise residential-only building. The totals were 210 dwelling units, 136 hotel rooms, and approximately 13,000 square feet of commercial and restaurant uses.

All of the residential units in this project were going to be RSO units as the project site has 43 RSO units that would be demolished. And as such, to comply with the RSO, the project would provide 100 percent of its 210 residential units of RSO.

Moving on to slide 5. Once the draft EIR was circulated, we received a number of comments and feedback. And most of the feedback included the request to add additional affordable housing, not to demolish the non-contributing residential buildings located in the Vista Del Mar Carlos Historic District, to limit construction in that district.

Given all of those comments, the City Planning Department requested that we consider Alternative 2 that
was presented in the draft EIR and also within that Alternative 2, preserve those residential buildings located in the historic district. So that's what we did.

And today we are here asking for approval of Modified Alternative 2. Modified Alternative 2 is approximately three stories, it has 269 total new dwelling units, 17 of those new dwelling units will be for very low income households and 252 of the units will be new RSO. As I mentioned before, we have 43 existing units on the site that need to be replaced, and we will be replacing them with -- 100 percent of the units that are not the affordable units will be RSO units.

It's also important to note that although it is not required by the RSO, Modified Alternative 2 would be offering the tenants of the existing RSO units at the project site the ability to return to a comparable unit once the project is built at their last year's rent plus applicable annual increases under the RSO. And in addition, during construction of Modified Alternative 2, the applicant would fund the difference in rent between the current -- between the tenants current rent and the new rent until the ability to return, if accepted, was exercised.

> That offer has been made to the tenants
multiple times, and the tenants do have that offer in writing from the applicant. We are still currently working on actual agreements regarding that ability to return. The other facts regarding Modified Alternative 2 is that we will be preserving the two existing non-contributing residences at 1765 and 1771 Vista Del Mar within the district. And we are limiting the construction in the district to only replacing the surface parking lot at the corner to create a public landscaped open space within the historic district, which will become a gateway to the district.

Moving on to slide 7. Slide number 7 is the original version of the original design of Modified Alternative 2. As noted by Mr. Como, Planning Staff met with Urban Design regarding the original design and issued several design comments in three categories. So the first category was 360 design. We were requested to eliminate the visual heaviness of the podium and lack of integration with the tower, to redesign large expanses of blank walls in the south and east sides of the podium and add visual interest and variation to the roof line.

In the pedestrian first category, we were asked to provide enhanced pedestrian experience and consider removing the sidewalk bump out and to trim the driveway curb cut for parking access to the minimum width
possible.
And then under the climate adapted category, we were asked to provide enhanced indoor/outdoor spaces, identify areas reserved for current future solar panel installation.

And with that, $I$ am going to turn it over to Tom Hsieh, who is the architect to the project so he can discuss how we integrated that feedback to the newly designed Modified Alternative 2.

MR. HSIEH: Good morning, Commissioners. I want to make sure you can hear me first?

MS. MILLMAN: Yes, we can.
MR. HSIEH: Thank you. Hi. I'm Tom Hsieh representing TSM Architects. Working with the Planning Staff and the Urban Design Studio, we made some significant changes to the project. Alan went over these previously in his presentation, and I just want to also touch upon them and walk them through with you.

So we started by modulating the massing, both the horizontal and vertical -- verticality of the base by using shapes, materials, colors, and decorative screens. We also did plane breaks and jog the facade in and out, as you can see in this rendering. We carry the angle motif down from the tower above, as well as some colors and materials from the tower. We used those as
our main compositional elements.
In areas where we wanted further visual
interest, we introduce features such as the art mural and the green wall. And you can see the art mural in the corner right over the entry. We made all the entrances very visible as well as set them back from the sidewalk. To provide gathering space for pedestrians. As you can see here at the corner, we added the pedestrian plaza. This area -- this plaza is available for indoor/outdoor dining, and this space makes it extra special for the pedestrians.

The garage entry is to the rear of the building, so it's as far away from the intersection as possible. Even for the garage entry, we incorporate a canopy and also added a living wall near the garage entry for drawing importance to it.

Moving on to slide 10 , to address the different context of the surrounds around the base of our building, each side is designed to be slightly different but cohesive as a whole. On Yucca and Argyle, the design allowed for pedestrian engagement with the ground level. So entrances on this -- on the commercial spaces are on the same level of sidewalk.

In addition, we provided nano walls so that they could be completely open and so the indoor/outdoor
space is celebrated. On the south and east side, we incorporated the green wall into our facade to serve as backdrop. So these elevations are more subdued, yet they use the same materials and colors as the other sides so that we can have a coherent base around the building. On these sides, the green walls will get plenty of sunlight to allow them to flourish.

Moving on to slide 11. On the top of the building, we took the opportunity to showcase what we're doing with solar panels, which I'll show you in a little more detail when we talk about climate. To emphasize a playfulness of the angled balconies on our building, we also introduced a lighter color tinted glass wherever the angled glass jogs into the building. So we further articulated the playfulness of the angles.

Moving on to slide 12. So aside from the podium design, I just wanted to point out some ground point changes that we've done. So first is we removed the drop-off area along Yucca, and this provides a wider sidewalk but also now incorporates the sidewalk into the entry plaza for the building. At the corner as mentioned before, we're allowing for a plaza so pedestrians can engage the building and this space could either be used for social gathering or indoor/outdoor dining. And then to the corner of Vista Del Mar and

Yucca, as mentioned earlier, we provided for outdoor park for the community.

Moving on to slide 13, one of the pedestrian first ideas is to try to limit the breaks on the sidewalk. So we narrowed our driveway entry, and this is the only entry into the building to 20 feet. So this is currently the narrowest that the DOT will allow.

Moving on to slide 14. It's the climate adapted design about well-being and taking advantage of very nice climate here in Southern California and also providing easy access to outdoor sunlight and natural ventilation. So our redesign includes the use of nano doors to the ground level restaurants. And then also wherever there's nano doors, we celebrated this with canopies, awnings, and also enhanced lighting in those areas.

Moving on to slide 15. On the podium, this is where we have our amenity spaces. These are opportunities for healthy activities such as fitness, play, cooking, and enjoying the nice weather and fresh air. So on this level, we'll have pool, barbecue, dining areas, as well as outdoor lounge seating and fire pit.

On 16, moving on to slide 16 , the podium amenity level will also have planters large enough for
mature trees that can provide shade. Here again we're using nano walls which will allow us to really open up the indoor amenity spaces for natural ventilation and again encouraging the indoor/outdoor experience.

Moving on to slide 17, as this is an
Environmental Leadership Development Project, we wanted to capture and express the environmental leadership design. So at the highest point of the building, the mechanical penthouse is clad and architectural solar panels. These are the vertical panels that you're seeing around the mechanical penthouse in the renderings.

So this element is intended to be a crown that is complimentary to the architectural concept and functional in reducing environmental impacts. So this shows using multipurpose building material is one way to promote the environmental leadership.

Moving on to number 18, slide 18. So to close, I just want to share a comparison between what is known as the Modified Alternative 2 and the redesign Modified Alternative 2. Here is side by side in comparison. So thank you, and now I want to turn this over and back to Kyndra.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you.
MS. CASPER: So moving on to slide 19 as

Mr. Como mentioned in his presentation, this project is an Environmental Leadership Development Project that was certified by the governor. There are a lot of requirements in order to achieve this status. There's a minimum investment of a hundred million dollars in California, the creation of high-wage, highly-skilled jobs meeting prevailing and living wage requirements, net zero GHG gas emissions, and lead silver certification or better and creating transportation efficiency.

The project was required under the ELDP rules to reduce VMTs by 10 percent, and this project actually reduces VMTs by approximately 30 percent. The project also includes a number of sustainability features. We will be exceeding Title 24 Energy Efficiency standards by a minimum of 5 percent. We will be reducing indoor water use by a minimum of 35 percent, reducing outdoor water use by a minimum of 50 percent, and providing a minimum of 30 kilowatts of power from photovoltaic solar panels as discussed by Tom Hsieh earlier.

The project -- moving on to slide 21. Pardon me. The project entered into a project labor agreement. This should say in May of 2017. I apologize for the mistake on the slideshow. The project labor agreement ensures that the project will create high-wage,
highly-skilled jobs that pay prevailing and living wages. The PLA also ensures the project's participation in the Helmets to Hardhats program, which is a program that employs veterans.

Slide 22 is the entitlement slide for Modified Alternative 2, which Mr. Como alluded to as well in his presentation. So quickly, we're asking for a zone change and height district change, density bonus compliance review, master conditional use permit for alcohol, a conditional use permit for live entertainment and dancing, site plan review, and a vesting tentative tract map.

The vesting tentative tract map, number 73718 for Modified Alternative 2 was approved by the Advisory Agency and appealed, and so that is before you today. It is a vesting tentative tract map for merger and re-subdivision of four lots into one master lot for condominium purposes and five air space lots. Part of the map is also requesting a proposed haul route of approximately 23,833 cubic yards of soil.

Moving on to slide 24. Slide 24 is a request for technical modification for conditions, and slide 25 is also a request for technical modifications for conditions. And these are the same conditions that Mr. Como spoke about in his presentation, and we would
like to request those as well. And so I won't go over them in detail since Mr . Como did. I'd like to spend a couple minutes, if $I$ still have a couple minutes, to address the appeal points that were brought up today.

So starting with the CEQA appeal points, there was an appeal point related to using --

MS. MILLMAN: Three minutes remaining.
MS. CASPER: -- using a PDF instead of mitigation measures, and we would like to point the commission to appendix $F$, the supplemental environmental responses for 6220 West Yucca project. That is supplemental response related to project design features and mitigation measures, and we agree with Planning Staff that that adequately resolves that issue.

There was a comment about GHG impacts. Greenhouse gas impact impacts are analyzed before consideration of greenhouse gas offsets so the comment was mistaken. I'd also like to point to appendix $F$, the supplemental environmental responses as well, and that is also dealt with in supplemental response number 2 , which is the response related to greenhouse gas emissions.

There was a comment related to noise, and we do have significant and unavoidable impacts related to noise, but we did explore all of the possible mitigation
measures. For example, we have a 15-foot tall sound barrier that will reduce the sound by up to 15 decibels.

However, they cannot be built tall enough. It is not feasible to build them tall enough to block all of the noise. For example, you cannot have a 50-foot noise barrier. That's not feasible. So we did investigate all feasible noise mitigation measures, and what we have as the noise mitigation measures are all of the feasible mitigation measures to reduce the noise impacts.

Regarding hazardous materials, they were evaluated in the initial study. The initial study included a phase one and the potential presence of things like lead-based paint and asbestos are identified in that, but there are regulatory compliance measures that the project must comply with that ensure the proper removal and disposal of such hazards.

I think the other -- there was another appeal point from Mr. McQuiston, and it was relating to --
(Pause in audio.)
-- RSO units and the existing tenants. And as I mentioned in the presentation, we will be offering the tenants the ability to return to the building and the ability to help supplement the rent at the interim apartment during construction until they are able to
come back to the project once it's constructed, if that offer is accepted. The tenants have a letter from the applicant. He has met with them several times. We also attempted to have a tenant meeting yesterday. We had two Zoom tenant meetings, and we only had one tenant -MS. MILLMAN: Please continue.

MS. CASPER: We only had one tenant attend, and so we are working with the tenants again to set up a new meeting in two weeks. So with that, I will say thank you very much, and myself and my team are here to answer any questions that come up. Thank you very much.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you. At this time, do we have -- oh, we will begin our public testimony. Each speaker who has pressed star nine will have one minute to speak. Marcos will call out the last four digits of your phone number when it is your turn. Please first state your name clearly and then proceed with your comments. You will hear a message that states you are unmuted. If you're having trouble unmuting, please press star six. If you're accessing via Zoom, please click on the "raise hand" button at bottom of the screen.

Marcos will call out your name when it is your turn to speak. You'll see a prompt stating, "The host would like you to unmute." Please click on "unmute" at
that time and begin your comments. Again, you will have one minute to speak.

Marcos, please go ahead.
MARCOS: Caller April, you're unmuted.
MS. NEALING: Hi. My name is April Nealing, and I live at 637 Villa Rosa Drive in CD13. I support Modified Alternative 2 because the developer has done the right thing in preserving the two homes on Vista Del Mar to protect the Carlos Vista Del Mar Historic District, and I support this type of integrity in development. Thank you.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you.
MARCOS: Caller Lauren, you're unmuted. Caller, please unmute yourself.

MS. MILLMAN: Please press star six to unmute yourself. There you go.

LAUREN: Sorry. Got it. Thank you. My name is Lauren. I live near this project in Hollywood. I support Modified Alternative 2 because there's more residential units near transit and everybody says they support reducing vehicle miles traveled and giving people the opportunity to get out of their cars, but this is a chance for the City to actually support that project and to do that.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you.

MARCOS: Caller Julia, you're unmuted.
MS. SCHNEIDER: Yes, Hi. My name is Julia
Schneider. I live at 948 Wilcox Avenue in District 13, and I support Modified Alternative 2 because it really fits in with the surrounding community and its current landscape. This project would be across the street from the Kimpton Hotel, which is 16 stories, and then it would also be across the street from the Argyle house, which is 18 stories. So really if this is not the right place for density in L.A., then nowhere is. And the bottom line is we need more housing in L.A. and adding density to do so in this location is really the right thing to do.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you.
MARCOS: Caller Shawny, you're unmuted.
SHAWNY: Hi. Can you hear me?
MS. MILLMAN: Yes, we can.
SHAWNY: Hi. I'm Shawny, and I live a few blocks away from this project in Hollywood, and I fully support the Modified Alternative 2 because the developer has, you know, made sure he kept his word in the units. And every low incoming will be rent controlled and in a city like this one that loses more and more RSO units every day, we should be celebrating this project. Thank you.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you.
MARCOS: Caller, you're unmuted.
MS. CHAVEZ: Hi. My name is Martha Chavez. I live in CD13. I'm at 946 Wilcox Avenue. I support the project because on top of already being rent controlled, it will add 17 affordable housing units for low income individuals and families. Thank you.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you.
MARCO: Caller Ashley, you're unmuted.
ASHLEY: Hi. My name is Ashley. I live at 5842 Harold Way in CD13. I am on here today to share that I support the Modified Alternative 2 because it is imperative that Angelenos stand up to voices that try to stifle additional affordable and rent controlled housing in our neighborhood. We've heard it many times, this opposition before. "I support housing, just not this project" or "This housing will cause more traffic," and we all know that any further development will never be good enough for these opponents.

I'm sorry, but if you can't support a project that is 100 percent rent controlled, the significant affordable housing inner part of town that can support our City's type of density, you'll never support more housing in Los Angeles. Enough is enough. Let's support this project. Thank you very much for your
time.
MS. MILLMAN: Thank you. Marcos: Caller Grace, you're unmuted.

MS. DeCOMPO: Hello. This is Grace DeCompo. Can you hear me?

MS. MILLMAN: Yes, we can. Please go ahead.
Ms. DeCOMPO: Hi. I live at 5842 Harold Way in
CD13. I support Modified Alternative 2 because we do need more affordable housing, and we need more rent-controlled apartments in this city. This project delivers both, which is incredibly rare. Please support a project that delivers all types of housing that opponents claim that they want. Thank you so much.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you.
MARCOS: Caller Kelly, you're unmuted.
MS. RALEIGH: Can you hear me?
MS. MILLMAN: Yes, we can. Please go ahead.
MS. RALEIGH: Okay. My name is Kelly Raleigh. I live at 6423 Lexington Avenue in CD13. I support Modified Alternative 2 because the changes have really improved on the project and show the developer's good faith effort to be responsive to the community. Ultimately, it is the street safety parts of this project that truly impact the community and the developer's effort to make the project more pedestrian
friendly is a great improvement that affects the community. Thank you.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you.
MARCOS: Caller Ron, you're unmuted.
MR. MILLER: Good morning. I'm Ron Miller, executive secretary of the L.A./Orange County Building Trades. We're in support of Modified Alternative 2. This project is an environmental leadership project as you heard. Building Trades worked very hard in Sacramento to get this law passed that way the ensure good environmental quality on projects along with good wages, and we do have a project labor agreement on this project that's going to bring the high-skilled labor that this city deserves. Thank you.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you.
MARCOS: Caller Paul, you're unmuted.
PAUL: Hello. Can you hear me?
MS. MILLMAN: Yes, we can. Please go ahead.
PAUL: Hi. My name is Paul. I live on Wilcox
in District 13. I support Modified Alternative 2 because we need all the housing we can get. And the last reasonable housing leaves -- the City of Los Angeles only reached 67 percent of its housing projection target. There's really no excuse to not approve this project. Thank you.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you.
MARCOS: Caller Brian, you're unmuted.
MR. CURRAN: Hello. Can you hear me?
MS. MILLMAN: Yes. Please go ahead.
MR. CURRAN: Yes. My name is Brian Curran speaking for Hollywood Heritage. We are very pleased with Modified Alternative 2 in its preservation of the two current non-contributors to the Carlos Vista Del Mar District. We question the need for a zoning change in that area of the property, however, if the buildings are going to be preserved.

We also would like the buildings to be restored using a qualified preservation architect done in accordance with the Secretary of Interior Standards and a conservation easement transferring air rights to the L.A. conservancy, if possible, so that the district is protected in perpetuity. We also have an issue -- or have a question with regards to how the preservation of the two non-contributors translates into the ten extra stories that were added.

UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: I'm sorry, that was your time.

MS. MILLMAN: Staff, you could allow people just if they do run out of time, just to allow them to finish their sentence. Thank you.

MARCOS: Caller Shauna, you're unmuted. MS. JOHNSON: Hi. Can you hear me? MS. MILLMAN: Yes. Please go ahead. MS. JOHNSON: Thank you. My name is Shauna Johnson. Good morning, and thank you for your time. I'm a resident at Yucca Argyle, and I oppose this project. My neighbors and I don't want to lose our RSO housing. The only contact we've had with the developer has been to promote cash buyouts and make promises that never found their way into an actual written agreement. If the developer plans on giving the right of return and interim plan, then it shouldn't be a problem to fold this in as a condition of approval for this project.

Do not leave this right of return negotiation up to the developer. Protect the existing tenants in this time of unprecedented displacement and homelessness and make the right of return a condition of approval for this project. Thank you.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you.
MARCOS: Caller George, you're unmuted.
MR. SKARPELOS: Thank you very much. My name is George Skarpelos. I'm the president of Hollywood United Neighborhood Council. And I'm not sure that there is an opportunity for the Neighborhood Council to speak. Normally we get more than just a one minute --

MS. MILLMAN: Do you have a certified neighborhood council resolution?

MR. SKARPELOS: Yes. We passed -- we did pass a motion on this.

MS. MILLMAN: Okay. So let's hear from you after we hear from the general public, and you'll have three minutes to present.

MR. SKARPELOS: Okay. Thank you.
MARCOS: Caller EJ, you're unmuted.
EJ: Good morning, Commissioners. Good morning, City Attorney. My name is EJ Verwa, and I too am a resident at the Yucca Argyle apartments here in Hollywood. I've been living here for 11 years. And like my neighbor Shauna voiced, I am concerned about losing my RSO housing, and I am looking for a legal protection for the right of return to my housing guaranteed in some kind of way.

I don't want the anxiety abuse of having the developer be in charge. I want the City to back me up in some kind of way, back up my neighbors in some kind of way, especially during a Global pandemic. Thank you for your time.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you.
MARCOS: Caller Luis, you're unmuted.
MR. SALDOVAR: Hi. Good morning,

Commissioners, City Attorney, and members of the public. My name is Luis Saldovar. I'm also a resident at the Yucca Argyle complex. And as my other neighbors have mentioned, we've lived here for quite some time. I've been here almost ten years, and I as well do not want to lose my RSO housing. I live with my family, my two children, and my wife. And I believe the CPC must not approve another displacement project.

I am kind of appalled and in disbelief at how can Alan Como and the Planning Department approve this without hearing, you know, the at-front of the appellant's case and the appellant -- the appeals without going through the appeals process and just approve this right off the bat. That did not make any sense to me, but, you know, I think a lot of things at the City level do not make any sense to a lot of people.

And I also want to say that Kyndra Casper forgot to mention that they -- the public and the community does not want to demolish the three buildings that are here on Yucca Argyle --

UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: Time.
MS. MILLMAN: Thank you.
MARCOS: Caller Omar, you're unmuted.
OMAR: Good morning. My name is Omar Glendo.
I'm with UA Plumbers Local 78. I am a proud combat
veteran myself, and I am very happy to support this project because it does have the Helmet to Hardhat language in there which means it gives transition veterans an opportunity to get their foot in the door into a very well career.

I myself was raised by a single mother. We were on welfare. I used the military, the Marine Corps specifically, as an opportunity to be able to advance myself. And I tried to go to college, and I found out college wasn't for me so I got into the Plumber's Union. Being in the Plumber's Union allowed me to provide a life for my family. I am now -- I am a proud homeowner thanks to the fact that I have a good career where I can afford that.

Having projects like this gives other veterans and people in the community that same opportunity to be able to buy a home if they want to stay in the same area where they live in now or they can decide and move to a different neighborhood. So please support this project. Thank you.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you.
MARCOS: Caller, you're unmuted.
MS. ZEMAITITIS: Yes. Good morning, Planning
Commissioners. Thank you for the opportunity to
comment. My name is Villia Zemaititis. I'm
representing Gomez and Marias Zemaititis, and we are the property owners directly next door to the project site at 1761 and 1763 Vista Del Mar. We submitted a detailed comment letter -- actually, two letters. One was an addendum to our original comments.

We have issues with the construction-related impact due to noise, dust, and vibration directly adjacent to our property. We also submitted comments regarding the site plan review in regards to the green screen, which is a liability to cover a five-story podium parking structure, which would be completely visible to all the low-scale residents along Vista Del Mar. So if that green screen fails, what do we do and what are we looking at?

We also submitted comments and questions regarding the proposed rezoning efforts mentioned by Brian Curran. I mean, how does maintaining two existing residents on Vista Del Mar and its zoning correlate to the additional --

UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: Time.
MS. ZEMAITITIS: -- additional density and in relation to the density bonus. So is this lot zoning or not? So thank you.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you.
MARCOS: Caller 3025, you're unmuted.

DANIELLA: Hello. My name is Daniella. I am a resident of the Yucca Argyle apartments. I have lived in the Hollywood community for all my life and in these apartments for 18 years, and I do not approve another displacement project. There should be a legal binding document for the right of return as a condition of approval on this project. Thank you.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you.
MARCOS: Caller, you're unmuted. Please unmute yourself. I will move on then.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you.
MARCOS: Neighborhood Council, George, you're unmuted.

MS. MILLMAN: And, George, you will have three minutes.

MR. SKARPELOS: Thank you very much. I appreciate you taking time to hear this project and allowing me to speak on this. We at the Hollywood United Neighborhood Council have held several meetings on this project with much input from many different stakeholders and such. And the last one was just recently.

We voted to not support this project based on two very specific issues. One, the building is of this very large project and are built on multiple existing
earthquake faults, and it is a significant danger and requires compliance with the Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act.

This specific point is very important because this is part of several other projects that are happening in the same area at the same time along the same earthquake faults. And if a serious earthquake happens, there will be tremendous amounts of destruction and loss of life. And before these projects move forward, there should be significant understanding of what is going to go on with these earthquakes. Our concern is for the safety and welfare of the community.

And secondly, there are continuing questions regarding relocation allowances for the proposed displaced residents and a lack of clarity and transparency in the relocation plans, including whether or not living expenses will be paid for by the developer. These are serious issues. We appreciate the developer wants to have RSO units in place. Those things we applaud and hope more developers would do these types of things, but promises were made at Neighborhood Council meetings, and we haven't seen a clear understanding as to how these things will take place.

Finally, with regards to the Planning

Department, we've requested numerous times, during the pandemic it is difficult for people to get together to go to libraries, to hold meetings, to really get the understanding as to the sense of what's going on in the community. And we requested numerous extensions on the EIRs and such. And Planning Department seems to be railroading these things through and pushing through because there is pressure either from the developers or from City leaders to make these developments happen.

We have no problem with the development, but the process should be clear and transparent and don't feel like it has been, and we really hope that -- and I believe that the credibility of the City and City's approach on these types of issues is in question as we've seen with regards to a number of the Federal indictments of lawmakers and such. So thank you very much for your time to listen to me. I appreciate your help.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you. Before we go to Council, it does appear that we have two more members of the public who would like to speak.

Marcos, if you could please call them. Each of these speakers will have one minute. Thank you.

MARCOS: Will do.
MS. LAMAS: Cecilia Lamas for the record.

Commissioner Millman, can we request a copy of that resolution from the neighborhood council be e-mailed?

MS. MILLMAN: Yes. And, again, please submit the Certified Neighborhood Council Resolution or Community Impact Statement to CPC@LACity.org, CPC@LACity.org.

MARCOS: Caller, you're unmuted. Caller LFP you are please unmute yourself.

TONI-ANN: Hi there. Can everyone hear me? I'm not sure --

MS. MILLMAN: Yes, we can hear you. Please go ahead.

TONI-ANN: I'm sorry. I wasn't sure if that was me. I just wanted to have a chance to say something. Thank you so much for your time. My name is Toni-Ann Mariacho, and I live in the neighborhood at 1016 North Hudson Avenue, and I am supporting Modified Alternative 2. It definitely has a number of sustainability features that we need in every future development here in Los Angeles.

This project focuses on water and also energy efficiency to maximize the liveability within the reduced resource consumption. And given that we're currently living through a manmade climate crisis in this state, we absolutely need to support developments
like this that make this type of conservation a priority. So thank you very much for your time. MS. MILLMAN: Thank you.

MARCOS: Caller 8136, please unmute yourself. MS. SHANE: Good morning, Commissioners. My name is Silvy Shane, and I am speaking to you today in support of a right of return for the tenants of Yucca Argyle. It's a commitment I witnessed Mr. Champion make himself at the Neighborhood Council meeting in 2016.

It's important that as I address this Commission, that $I$ emphasize that $I$ am a previous displaced resident of this neighborhood who is only able to return to my home at the Villa Carlotta when it opened in 2018 because I had the right to return under the Ellis Act. It's an existing structure. That's why.

There has not been a day of the COVID lockdown that I have not been grateful to be so back in the place and community that $I$ have long called home. It's important to understand that ensuring for right of return is not simply just providing it in language but in substance. Without a contract subject to legal remedy, enforcement of a right of return is virtually impossible.

I had to get the City and an attorney involved just to enforce the right of return that was mandated by
law. So I urge this Commission to ask accordingly and recognize that the only leverage that we have that currently exists to ensure that the tenants can come back to their homes --

UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: Time.
MS. SHANE: -- of the project until there's a bona fide enforceable offer. Thank you.

MARCOS: Caller Watkins, you're unmuted.
MS. WATKINS: Yes. Can you hear me?
MS. MILLMAN: Yes. Go ahead.
MS. WATKINS: I thank the Commission for hearing my comments. This is in regards to council file 121549S15. It's in regards to the development of the historic properties.

MS. MILLMAN: We are taking comments on the Yucca Argyle project at this time.

MS. WATKINS: Okay. You guys aren't at general comments yet?

MS. MILLMAN: We already had general public comment. When we are done with this item, I'm happy to reopen it so you can submit your comment on the record.

MS. WATKINS: Thank you. Thanks so much.
Staff, please remind me to do that before we adjourn. Thank you.

MARCOS: Call-in user, you're unmuted. Caller,
please unmute yourself. That will be under Galaxy S10. MS. MILLMAN: Okay. You're unmuted. Please go ahead. The caller calling from their Galaxy phone. Please go ahead. You're unmuted. Please go ahead. Okay. I think we're having technical difficulties with this caller.

Let's go to the council office. I know that we have Craig with us this morning.

MR. BULLOCK: Good morning. My name is Craig Bullock. And I'm with Council Member Mitchell O'Farrell's office. I'm here today to convey the Council Member's support for the Modified Alternative 2 project and to deny the appeals. The project has many benefits to Hollywood, including affordable housing, rent-stabilized housing, open space, environmentally sustainable features, a project labor agreement, and transit.

It also creates the ability for the tenants to return to the project. Since the project was proposed many years ago, Council Member has advocated for the tenants of the site. We are pleased with the cooperation of the applicant that the tenants will have the ability to return to the project into a comparable unit with rent they would have expected to pay.

And the tenants will also have the rent
deferential between what they are paying now and what they will have to pay at the time of the relocation. I would like to add the following condition to the approval. And the condition reads, "Prior to the issuance of the building permit for the construction of the project, certified mailing receipts or proofs of service signed under penalty of perjury shall be submitted to the Department of City Planning Major Projects Unit demonstrating that existing qualified tenants were provided an offer and a private agreement between the tenant and the applicant that includes, subject to the terms, an ability to return to a comparable unit within the project. And during construction of the project, the funding of the difference in rent to comparable unit between the tenant's current rent and the new rent until the ability to return, if accepted, is exercised.

The applicant will also submit concurrent with certified mailing receipts or proofs of service signed under penalty of perjury, the rent role of currently occupied units at the time the offer is commenced to the Department of City Planning Major Projects Unit."

That is the end of the condition. I would like to thank City Planning Staff for their diligent work, particularly Alan Como. Thank you for your
consideration.
MS. MILLMAN: Thank you, Craig. And with that, let's try Galaxy caller one more time. And if we can't get them, then we will move forward. Galaxy caller, please unmute yourself.

UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: Hello. Can you hear me now?

MS. MILLMAN: Yes, we can. Please go ahead. UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: I would like to say that I'm one of the residents from the Yucca Argyle. I lived in the Hollywood community for more than 40 years. I do not want to lose my RSO housing. The CPA must not approve another displacement project. There must be a right of return with the tenants as a condition of approval of this project. All of us here that live here, we would like for the community to help us not approve this project. Thank you.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you.
MARCOS: Caller John, you're unmuted.
JOHN: Yeah. Hi there. I hope everyone can hear me. I'm new to this process. I'm just wondering whether the audio from this particular meeting will be posted on the Planning Commission website? I saw in some meetings that was the case and others it was not.

MS. MILLMAN: The audio will be posted within
two business days.
JOHN: Great. Thank you.
MS. MILLMAN: Thank you. And with that, I'm going to go ahead and close public testimony.

Staff, would you like to address any of the issues raised? I think in particular the earthquake seismic issue and any other issues you'd like to address.

MR. COMO: Yes. Thank you, Commissioner Millman. This is Alan Como. Hopefully my audio is still working. Can you hear me?

MS. MILLMAN: Yes.
MR. COMO: Okay. Bear with me. I know there was a lot to respond to and $I$ do have notes in a couple different places, so $I$ want to make sure that this is a good response for you guys. So there were several issues that were brought up regarding the Alquist-Priolo Act. A lot of those issues are addressed in the EIR and in the supplemental Exhibit $F$, which is the supplemental environmental response that was included as part of the tract map appeal report to Commission.

I do want to point out that the project site was investigated by a qualified licensed geologist. They perform site specific fault studies, which found no active faulting below the project site. The studies
were reviewed by the City geologist and submitted to California Geological Survey for record. The project site approval for a new development within the Alquist-Priolo earthquake fault zone was performed under the jurisdiction of the City as the law requires.

So CEQA requires the City also to decide whether the project could significantly impact the environment directly or indirectly by exposing people in structures to potential substantial adverse seismic impacts, including the risk of loss, injury or death from rupture of a known earthquake fault or ground shaking.

In making the decision, CEQA guidelines direct the City to consider the most recent earthquake fault zone map issued by the State geologist for the area as well as other substantial evidence of a known fault according to CGS special publication 42. CEQA requires that the EIR for the project adequately discuss the project's potential environmental impacts to ensure informed decisionmaking and public participation and that the conclusions in the EIR are supported by substantial evidence.

Evidence is presented in the draft EIR. There is appendix $F$, as in Frank, dash 2 of the draft EIR, is the fault study. That was performed under the
guidelines published under CGS, again, that's the California Geological Survey. And under the guidelines in Los Angeles Building Code 1803.5.11. The investigation included fault trenching, boring transect and bucketauger logging on the project site. I know those are very technical terms.

I believe the applicant's team also includes their geologist, who might be able to explain exactly what that means. It's beyond my level of expertise. But the project site had a thorough review. The findings of the investigation concluded no active faulting at the project site. I do also want to point to -- and if you can give me a moment to turn to it -information that was in the tract map approval level of determination.

Under finding $C$, as in cat, regarding the site's suitability for development. The tract has been approved contingent upon the satisfaction of the Department of Building and Safety Grading Division prior to the recordation of the map and issuance of any permits. So pursuant to $D B S$ grading division, a letter was issued on February 20th, 2015 that referenced -that included reports that were submitted from the geologist.

The Department of Building and Safety grading
said in that letter that the reports are acceptable provided that the conditions incorporated in that letter are complied with during site development. The Department of Building and Safety and grading division issued a subsequent letter in 2019 based on additional reports that were also submitted at that time.

The 2019 letter stated that the previous referenced reports provide geological investigations to assess the potential faulting at the site and that no active faults were found and the potential for fault related ground rupture is low. So they also stated that that referenced report is acceptable as well.

So basically the Department of Building and Safety Grading Division has included two letters that indicate that all of the seismic studies and reports that were submitted regarding grading on the site for the project are acceptable and have included conditions which are a part of the tract map report.

Okay. Hopefully that covers some of the fault issues. I can try and answer further questions as they may come up. If you'd like, I can go on to a different topic or respond to something else?

MS. MILLMAN: Go ahead.
MR. COMO: Okay. I'd like to respond to the hazards and hazardous materials issue that was brought
up. So the initial study for the project did consider the potential of the presence and potential release of lead-based paints and asbestos containing materials in the existing onsite buildings. The initial study determined with regulatory compliance of South Coast Air Quality Management District Rule 1403 and Cal-OSHA requirements, specifically remediation and abatement measures, the project would have a less than significant impact resulting in the exposure and disposal of hazardous materials.

Any potential hazardous material removal is addressed through existing regulations for led-based paint and asbestos abatement. And there was -- we feel that the appellant did not demonstrate how after compliance with this regulation there would still be any significant impact. So there's no evidence that there would still be a significant impact after compliance with those measures. Moving on to --

MS. MILLMAN: Hold on one second. Anything that was already in our packet or in your presentation and has already been put on the record, I don't think you have to repeat because it's on the record and we already read it.

MR. COMO: Okay. Thank you.
MS. MILLMAN: Just to save you some time.

MR. COMO: Thank you, Commissioner Millman. I appreciate that.

Regarding the greenhouse gas discussion by virtue of the project's ELDP certification, the project did analyze future energy demands. And per the direction of the California Air Resource Board, the project did use an emission factor that represents the states renewable portfolio standard law and growth and electricity demand. The application of project design features are intended to correspond to the project's ELDP certification. So to that and the PDFs for the project include obtaining the necessary offsets, requiring the necessary EV parking supply. The projects also going to be constructed to LEED silver standards.

The project will not result in direct impact relative to GHG emissions and will not have any cumulative considerable impact to GHG impacts on the environment. All of that again is discussed at length in the draft EIR. The GHG offsets are in accordance with the requirements of the ELDP requirements to be a net zero project. So that is inherent in being certified as an ELDP project, which was done by the governor.

MS. MILLMAN: Alan, just to reiterate, all of this or most of this is already in our documents which
we have reviewed. So if there's something new that you need to add to or respond to, please let us know. Otherwise I think we can begin deliberation.

MR. COMO: Yeah. Thank you, Commissioner.
MS. MILLMAN: Yeah.
MR. COMO: Sure. Sorry to interrupt. I appreciate that Commissioner Millman. I just wanted to respond to those, but $I$ will stand aside and try and answer any questions that may come up.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you so much.
MR. COMO: Thank you.
MS. MILLMAN: Okay. At this time we will begin deliberations. I'm also going to note for the record that Caroline Cho needs to leave at 10:30.

Caroline, is there anything you wanted to say before you need to leave?

MS. CHO: Thank you. I think it's a great project. I think the developer has showed us what it means to really work with the community and the tenants that are there. I think we should approve it.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you. Before we go on, I just want to reiterate the language we got from the Council office because my first and foremost concern is the health and well-being and the economic health of the existing tenants on site. So just to recap what is in
the language that Craig read, because Craig does speak quickly. So for members of the public, particularly those that reside on site, we would be adding a condition of approval, which is important because if this project is sold, it's necessary to have a condition of approval in our findings so that it is enforceable and they can't say, "Oh, that was a private agreement with the other developer, but we're choosing not to use that private agreement."

So before the issuance of building permits, they're going to -- we are conditioning this to submit certified mailing receipts or proofs of service signed under penalty of perjury to the Department of Planning Major Projects, demonstrating that existing qualified tenants were provided an offer and a private agreement between the tenant and the applicant that includes subject to the terms an ability to return to a comparable unit within the project. And during construction of the project, the funding of the difference in rent to a comparable unit between the tenant's current rent and the new rent until the ability to return, if accepted, is exercised.

The applicant may also submit concurrent with the certified mailing receipts or proofs of service signed under penalty of perjury the rent role of the
currently occupied units at the time the offer is commenced to the Department of City Planning Major Projects units. I think including that in our approval in particular in item 7 will ensure protection of the tenants on site.

So with that, I'm going to go to Karen Mack. MS. MACK: Thank you. I believe that this project is the right project in the right place. I'm very appreciative of the Council Office's work to support what's been reflected I think -- or represented by the developer -- or strengthen what's been represented by the developer in the community.

It seems like there are some trust issues around whether the developer would actually deliver on the right of first return. So I'm excited that that is going to be a condition and it makes sense. My concern is this earthquake issue, which $I$ feel like there's a need to really understand that as it relates to development in Hollywood. Generally -- there was just an article in the L.A. Times on July 20th affirming by State geologists, affirming that there is strong evidence that there are faults under the towers that are just like a couple blocks away.

So even though there may not be a fault under this particular building, it seems like if there's an
earthquake, it's certainly going to impact this building. So $I$ just don't understand the issue of -- or I feel like, you know, decisionmaking in this area in general in order for me to make good decisions around this issue of earthquake danger, I need to have a real understanding of, you know, what really is at play underground, you know, as these developers push forward projects.

Staff, do you have any reflection on that -- on how you think about it? I mean, how do you think about it, I guess?

MS. MILLMAN: Alan?
MS. MACK: Maybe Alan, maybe Kevin. You know, I really, you know, value the leadership because this is a huge issue. I mean, like to me this area is one of our key areas to add density, but then we have this real potential danger under the ground as we make it, you know, more dense.

MS. ZASADZIEN: This is Milena Zasadzien with the City of Department Planning. So for geological investigations, there's a number of different state laws and local regulations that ensure that buildings that are built near faults or within a certain proximity of faults are built to certain building standards and do certain site investigations.

So there's multiple ways that you can investigate whether there's an active fault. An active fault is somewhere between 10,000 or 11,000 years, that that was the last time that it was active. So you can either do investigations where you kind of do like a sonar and go into the earth and bounce waves off to see if there's fault locations, which I believe is what the State did in some of their most recent investigations.

And there's more intensive ways to be able to figure out the movement of soil, and that's -- you can do borings, which was done on this site. And they do soil samples, and through that way, they're able to dig deep into the ground and see what is the geology of the soil going down, and then do multiple ones of those throughout a site.

And based on that, kind of map and do a transect and be able to map and estimate what does the soil look like. So that's a more accurate way to investigate. And then the third way is to actually dig into the ground and be able to expose the ground and physically look at the whole entire site. So due to the existing buildings on the site here, what they did is the transect study.

So they did the actual borings into the site to map, which is a much more accurate way of mapping than
going through sound waves, especially since the Hollywood area has a lot of existing noise and activity, which could then influence how those sound waves read information.

Also, the sound waves method doesn't exactly tell you whether a fault is active or not. So that's sort of the difference between the amount of detail and information we got. So based on what happened here on this site, they did do the transect and borings on the site. Those were reviewed and approved by the City Grading Division. So we feel confident that this is -that that was substantial evidence to demonstrate that there was no significant impacts in terms of grading geology for this site.

MR. KELLER: Kevin Keller for City Planning, thank you, Milena, for describing some CEQA related analysis on this site. Just in the larger context of geologic structural, planning does provide the entitlements. We do rely on the Department of Building and Safety to prepare the geologic studies that are in the environmental analysis and also will by -- we can have staff describe. As construction occurs on the site, when grading occurs, there's an analysis that occurs as the site is graded to verify those conditions.
The same issues apply to, you know, high-rise
development, structural analysis. Planning provides the entitlement, the initial background, the environmental analysis of the site. And we do rely on our Department of Building and Safety which works in collaboration with the State to verify the geologic study itself, the boring and the actual structural design of the building itself. So I just wanted to provide that context.

I think our staff is talking from an environmental analysis point. So we do that have that preliminary analysis in that document which we feel provides the path forward for this project. But we can also describe a little bit more about when the project is actually constructed, I think as Milena said. There is onsite verification with our Department of Building and Safety before the project proceeds.

MS. MILLMAN: And then $I$ think it might be helpful to hear a very quick response from the applicant who, $I$ don't know, if $I$ were investing over a hundred million dollars on a site, that $I$ would be doing pretty substantial investigation into the seismic issues that exist on site because $I$ wouldn't want to put an investment of that size on a site that could potentially demolish my building.

MS. MACK: Can $I$ just jump in here? I mean, one of our challenges today -- and, you know, it's very
hard, I think, to plan well in a climate change reality, you know, you have these competing forces of danger but also finances, and, you know, sort of emotional will that impairs decisionmaking. So I feel like -- and I don't know that this applies necessarily in this case, I just want to put that out there.

I mean, we've seen it over the last month.
And, you know, in -- like whole towns burning to the ground because people made bad planning decisions. So, you know, I just -- I feel like there's some thoughtfulness that's required in our due diligence as we exercise our due diligence in this reality because the earth is not our friend. So, you know, I just want to put that out there for now and, you know, as we think about things moving forward.

MS. MILLMAN: Okay. Thank you. Let's go to Helen and then to Dana.

HELEN: I want to say that this project is kind fascinating and tough in the sense that it's many years in the making. I want to commend that the developer team had to adjust to the draft EIR and make changes accordingly to make a project work given those findings. It's always great to see a project that has prevailing wage and a project labor agreement.

So it's great to see a project participate in
the environmental program. My two comments first is around just the podium wrapping. It's very thoughtful how it's done, and I would love at some point to hear from the architect, their thoughts on how the podium wrapping actually responds to kind of the lower density on the somar (phonetic).

I believe -- and I'm sure I'm going to hear more from Dana and David on the elements of the podium wrapping, but to make sure that the thoughtfulness and materials and colors, you know, end up not getting engineered out and that actually is in place, and what we end up seeing in the renderings is in place. So I believe there is a condition that we put in the previous project that $I$ wanted to make sure is part of this.

My second comment is really about the existing tenants. And I want to thank all the existing tenants that came out to speak for the appellant to kind of represent and argue for the right of housing. You know, a condition -- required condition of right of refusal is something we don't have as a norm. We don't have strong displacement policy in Hollywood or in the City in general.

And during this time, that is a big issue. So I really want to commend the Council Office for making sure that we are supporting existing tenants. And
there's something that $I$ just want to reiterate, which is that although technically the RSO units that are on site are not technically affordable units because they're not covenanted, but they are naturally occurring affordable housing, and that type of housing in L.A. is being lost all the time when there is development like this that can result in direct displacement.

So to see a precedent like this, I'm hoping that a condition of approval, which has the right of refusal, becomes the norm. And I would not support this project without that in place. So that being said, I do want to have -- given what we heard about the Crossroads project and that kind of gap between the intention of the developer to offer the right of refusal and to see through the very detailed kind of analysis on comparable rates and having a rent that is comparable, I would love to hear from Planning Staff and even Lisa or Kevin, given that this is a condition that we're adding.

Is there anything that we can do to kind of strengthen or ensure that the Planning Department can enforce that condition? Is there any kind of improvements we can make given that this is kind of slightly different than normal conditions? And I think before I end, I would also like to say that just because new RSO units are going to be in place, it doesn't mean
that those units are affordably rented. Because once -rent stabilization only caps the increase, but it doesn't cap what the starting rent will be.

So there is something to be said about it's great that these units will be protected by the rent stabilization ordinance, but to say they're going to be affordably rented is not something that may be true. So I want to kind of distinguish between the kind of technical term of affordable units, you know, what ends up being naturally occurring, and what ends up happening when previous RSO units are taken off the market and new RSO units are put in place.

I'm hoping that's something that the equity subcommittee that Caroline and Karen and I are on can help kind of unpack as we explore this reality that is facing so many tenants who are in existing RSO buildings that are going to be lost. So let's hear from Planning Staff, if they have any thoughts on if there should be any changes to the thoughtful condition that Craig put forward.

MS. WEBBER: Yeah, this is Lisa Webber with City Planning, and I'll go ahead and kick it off, and then ask Major Project Staff to jump in but the critical component of this condition of approval is the hook that there be satisfaction of this condition prior to the
issuance of building permit.
And so really we have an opportunity at that moment to hold the project until we are satisfied that this condition has been fully met and satisfied. And so I am comfortable with the language as it's presented. I would ask Major Projects if you want to jump in at this point?

MR. PERLMAN: Can I make a suggestion? Am I unmuted? Okay. I'm sorry. I appreciate this, and this is one of the restrictions of these Zoom calls is that we sit here, these commissions, for hours just trapped and unable to really engage. I am so glad Helen asked that question because this is clearly a big issue that many of us have concerns about. And I'm looking forward to hearing how the equity subcommittee is able to help develop guidelines on this going forward.

With respect to this, I appreciate Craig's proposal. It really doesn't satisfy me. I'll take part of this from my legal background and what I look at with those green signature cards, especially in the time of COVID and the Post Office right now, doesn't give me a lot of comfort. So what I would suggest is the prior permit, the condition of return on the terms that Craig proposed, which I think those terms are correct as far as the agreement.

I want the applicant or their successor, the then owner of the building, to have to provide proof of agreement, proof of signed agreement with all the tenants who were in place at the time of entitlement or written rejection. And if they can't get written rejection, then $I$ would suggest that we would accept a declaration from the then-owner under penalty of perjury.

I want to make sure, because we've heard from other people in the community. We've heard from one of the appellants that there have been problems with this applicant. Now, granted, we just have someone saying that to us. It's not proof. It's not evidence. Well, it's evidence, but it's not conclusive. But nevertheless, I'd like to be as comfortable as I can that every effort was made by the owner to reach terms with the tenants.

And, granted, there can be tenants that just hold out and refuse to sign for whatever reason. That does happen also, and who are unreasonable and try to extract other sorts of concessions that they're really not entitled to. But $I$ do think we want to know that there's actually an agreement signed in writing with the terms that are in our condition that Craig proposed or that we have some sort of conclusive proof, which again,
can be a declaration that it was offered and rejected. That's something I'd like to see. There are some other points, but I'll note the other points afterwards.

MS. MILLMAN: I agree with those changes and support them. I just, for the record, want to make it clear, the applicant in this case is not the same applicant as Crossroads, as it's my understanding, right? It's just the representative is the same. I agree with strengthening the language of the agreement.

MR. PERLMAN: And for the record, this would go in $Q$ condition 14, I believe, which is where we have our -- Staff did put an RSO in there, but I think that's where we would beef it up.

MS. MILLMAN: Great. Let's -- why don't you state some of your other --

MR. PERLMAN: Sure. So I also appreciate Helen bringing up the podium, having served under her leadership on the podium parking subcommittee, above-ground parking subcommittee. It's a bit disturbing, again, to see a project come to us with five levels of above-ground parking and one half level subterranean . I expect there's some geographic issues -- geological issues, I'm sorry, that may be the reason for this.

I appreciate the architect's efforts to address
this with some thoughtful design as far as how the podium will be treated and masked. I agree with Helen and Lisa, we really need to have a tight condition that if these are modified, $I$ would propose $I$ want it coming back to the Commission; I really do. I know that's something that David talked about.

Because we have spent so much time at this Commission thinking about and trying to develop and put out guidelines on how we want to see above-grade parking treated. I really want to make sure that that's respected, at least when we're seeing a project of this size. I'm going to hope there's no need for modification, and we will never see this again until it's built. If there is a substantial or material modification, I would want it to come back.

And then my last comment is -- well, I do have a concern that this is within 200 feet within the 101 freeway. I just want to say that to be consistent with what I've said on other projects, and there are a fair amount of outdoor space that is facing or going to be right within 200 feet of the freeway. I'm not sure there's anything that can be done here, but it is a concern. I just want to flag it because I want to be consistent.

I think the earthquake issues have been more
than adequately addressed. I appreciate what Karen said, and I agree this is a big concern. We've had a number of projects in that area. We spent a lot of time on that. We have the Millennium project, the towers which has been in litigation. There has been so much analysis done in that area.

We're in Los Angeles. There are faults everywhere, everywhere. Let's be honest. Beverly Hills School District used that argument to try to stop the purple line for years. There are faults, but there are still ways to construct around them. And I think that Building and Safety I'm sure will make sure that any project that proceeds on this property will be built consistent with the best standards necessary to make sure that every precaution is taken.

We're never 100 percent sure. We can't be. The only way to do that would be to build further out in the suburbs, and then we have further issues, as Karen said in building out, into fire areas and raising other concerns.

My last point is the mural, the art mural on the eastern facade. I didn't see a condition on that. And, Staff, maybe you can help me find where that would be, but this is to be -- at least in my understanding -in the graphic, that this is to be a lit mural. And by
"lit," I believe it's to be digital. If that's the case, I want to make sure that there are some restrictions as far as the brightness, as far as making sure there aren't transitions. Because even if it isn't advertisement, we still don't want it constantly changing and impacting other residents who live in the area who are going to see a lot of bright movement on this structure.

UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: I don't believe that it's proposed to be digital and in fact would support a condition that it not be.

UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: That's great. Let's do that.

UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: Then going back to the condition about the podium, just to be nimble and just sensitive to everyone's time and the length that these meetings are taking, would you be satisfied by rather than it coming back to the Commission, it come back to the design subcommittee of the commission, much like we did with the times project?

UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: The parking podium design subcommittee.

UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: The parking podium design subcommittee.

UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: Yes. Great. David?

DAVID: Great. Thank you. I'm not going to be redundant. I think a lot of what's been said is the point that I would make. I think they've done an excellent job around housing. I'm satisfied with the condition being embedded as described. I think that could be a model for us in the future.

We've struggled in the past with how do we provide a forum for site agreements as it related to land use in the limited provision of our authorities. I think this potentially is a model for that prospectively. I think the return for major modifications is appropriate.

Staff, did you talk for a second around signage? I know this is freeway adjacent, and with the visual nightmare that is the Reef and all that corruption, $I$ just want to make sure that we're not doing something similar to Hollywood. Let me be more specific. Is there a prohibition on signage? And if not, what would you recommend? I'm aware that it's in the sign district.

UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: Could Staff respond?
Thanks.
MS. ZASADZIEN: This is Milena Zasadzien with the Department of City Planning. There is no digital signage proposed as you mentioned within the Hollywood

Signage District, so digital signage can only be part of a marquee. So it's not part of this project. If there was any further signage, it would just be subject to this review and approval through this Signage District.

DAVID: I'd like staff to help me develop a condition to prevent all signage other than street frontage or store frontage related to the retail and maybe a building name. Just because it's in the sign district doesn't mean we need to have it. It's facing the hillside, facing the freeway. This is not exactly in the middle of the entertainment walkability area. So I don't want to do that on the fly.

So, Commission, if we can give them a few minutes and then return to it, and $I$ would like my colleagues to consider that. We don't need any more tequila and crap cluttering it up. We got plenty of that on that nightmare at Vine just down the street in Hollywood. So I would like to come back to that.

I think this is an excellent project, you know. Karen, thank you for raising the earthquake issue as a resident of nearly 20 years in Hollywood. On multiple neighborhood councils, it is perpetually a conversation we have. I am mindful of our role but always grateful for the issue being raised and many issues that are outside of our purview. I do trust and have faith in
our sister agency that this is a priority issue for them as well as the State.

And I know there's been a lot of dialogue around adjacent projects, but $I$ am satisfied that they have satisfied the planning part of this process and that we should work with our sister agencies to move forward but acknowledge our concern and ensure that it's communicated, but $I$ am appreciative of you raising that. And I really, really think the provision of sustaining the housing is excellent.

I have thoughts on the two buildings we're preserving, but those are neither here nor there, and I'm glad that all the parties are satisfied with regard to that. And I just want to say excellent job. I sat through this material and spent a lot of time, and I think it's gotten to the point in Hollywood, given the litigious nature of everything, of just really going above and beyond and kind of exploring every nook and cranny.

So with that, Commissioner President, I'd like to return in a few minutes before our vote to discuss a potential signage condition.

MS. MILLMAN: Yes. So Staff, if you could work on that now and then we still have a couple commissioners who haven't weighed in.

Mark or Vahid? Vahid, go ahead.
MR. KHORSAND: Okay. Thank you. I want to echo what Commissioner Perlman said. I think what I want to add to that is I'm actually very troubled with the appellant's comments on the applicant representative. And I have concerns that when it comes to conditions, it's something we've struggled with before, and that's enforcement.

That unless we have something as what Dana recommended, there seems to be very little in way of enforcement to make sure that some of these things are being enforced, especially with the right of return. And having some type of written documentation that evidences that those efforts were made. And even if that's done, Dana, I'm not even sure -- if it's not enforced, it's not enforced, and I think it's something we fall back on and leering on in this process additional things that we know are the right things to do but they're not enforced.

So, actually, the message $I$ want to send it to representatives of applicants. These things build your reputation in my mind. So now in the future when a project is presented, $I$ am going to be mindful of when we do a right of return, when we do some things, does the person go back on the agreement they made? And I
think that reputations last forever, and these representatives need to be mindful of that, that we don't have short-term memory, that we do hold onto these things, and we do look for ways to enforce the conditions we seek.

When we hear situations like Crossroads where we hear of eviction processes, that's just one side of the story. It's your future applicants who are also going to bear the brunt of that. So, Dana, I want to hear from you a little bit more about what you recommend that what happens if there is no enforcement.

DANA: Well, I think that's the problem we have with every condition. I agree, Vahid. We go down that path all the time -- or not all the time, but we had that concern. We talked about David's very careful with signage, and we have to hope and expect that people will follow our conditions because that's the basis of their entitlement. They're legally obligated, but we can't be sure.

So then we rely on Building and Safety to enforce issues when all of a sudden there's an unauthorized sign in front of a building. It would seem to me that if they present the documentation that we condition and require, which is a condition for the permit, so then they can't get the permit without it.

But if they present the documentation and a tenant comes forward and says, "I never signed an agreement, was never provided with an agreement, never had an opportunity to review an agreement," I would expect -- and I guess I turn to Donna Wong, they have an issue kind of like the CIM building, the Old Spaghetti Factory, where one of the bases for the condition of -for the permit was invalid, but we'd have to rely on the City Attorney to enforce that and Building and Safety.

UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: I also wanted to follow up, I think Major Projects team may have had a follow-up response to -- in strengthening the condition, but I'm also curious given that the new units will be subject to RSO, is there a rule for $H C I D ~ t o ~ m o n i t o r ~ c l o s e l y ~ g i v e n ~$ that they do that already for covenant units. That's something I'm curious if that's within the realm of possibility and could be a way to strengthen the enforcement.

LUCI: This is Luci, if you can hear me. I just want to clarify that per the Ellis, when the units are returned to the rental market within five years, they are subject to the Rent Stabilized Ordinance, and those are regulated by HCID. So we don't need to add any additional language to that effect. We do concur with Commissioner Perlman's suggestion to further
strengthen the condition that was read by CD13 that requires the applicant to provide documentation justifying and further elaborating their efforts to secure right of return. That's possibly the most that we can do at this point from the Planning Department to further require cooperation and compliance of that condition.

MS. MILLMAN: I also want to be careful, Commissioners, that we're not taking one person's assertion of what happened as what actually happened without full evidence of what happened, right? So let's be careful about casting aspersions, just want to put that out there. Because somebody said something happened, it's not necessarily the way it happened. And without written evidence, we can't verify that that happened.

We're just going on one person's word without giving anyone the chance to rebut it. So let's tread lightly there. With that, I'd like to return to staff regarding David's request. Do we have some language proposed?

MS. COMO: Lisa, are you able to get the language?

LUCI: We have the language. This is Luci with Major Projects. So the signage condition would read,
"The project shall be prohibited from including offsite signs and digital signs. Signage shall be limited to the onsite signage for the proposed commercial tenants and the building identity signage also on the corner of Yucca and Argyle as shown on Exhibit A. Per the Hollywood signage supplemental use district, all illuminated signs shall be designed, located or screened so as to minimize to the greatest reasonable extent possible direct light forces onto any exterior wall of a residential unit and into the window of any commercial building. If signs are to be externally lit, the force of the external illumination shall be limited -- excuse me -- shall be shielded from public view."

UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: I think that's an excellent condition. I have two follow-ups, Luci. One, can we add the following sentence: No signage shall be visible -- no offsite signage or some description other than what you said for onsite things, no offsite signage shall be visible from the freeway. My concern is a workaround like the Wilshire Grand Hotel where they put a sign inside the building, which is technically sort of not a sign where you can see it from Figueroa, I believe.

So I just want to make sure that they're not commercializing the 101 and taking away from the Capital

Records and other kind of vistas, as well as $I$ don't want the Hollywood Hills to be subject to a big commercialized advertisement in excess of what's already been prostituted to be.

And then the other question $I$ have is where do we embed that? Can that be in some sort of viable place, and can any changes to that have to come back to the Commission? I know that's extreme, Ms. Millman, but I just wanted to ask.

UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: Sure. So what we can do is we can modify the first sentence that I read to state that the project shall be prohibited from including outside signage, digital signage, or any signage visible from the freeway, so that captures that. And then we can include that in the $Q$ condition such that if they wanted to modify, they would have to come back to the Commission. So we can just leave it at that.

DAVID: I think that's excellent. My only caution would be it's not just from the freeway. I would like a comma in there. Your original statement comma including not visible from the freeway because I don't want them to think if they put it on the other side, it's okay. I'm trying to create a -- to embed the elegant architecture and building they've created and not use it as a billboard stand because they're
presenting as such that that's not the case, and I don't want them to come down the pike with a more flexible administration or commission to do that.

UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: David, I just want to confirm that there would be no building identification signage -- or that we don't create language in the condition that's overly restrictive that prevents, you know, basic tenant signage and building identification signage if desired.

DAVID: Right, not my intent.
UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: Right. So the language does include limiting onsite signage to the proposed commercial tenants and the identity signage that's shown on Exhibit A that was disclosed to everyone at the corner of Yucca and Argyle which is more at a pedestrian level rather than at the tower.

DAVID: That's an excellent condition. And then the other thought I had is I thought there's a great conversation around the enforceability, and one suggestion -- I don't know if it's feasible, but I love what they did around coming back before the certificate of occupancy I believe. Is there something like a plan approval in a few years?

I know we're granting a CUB or CUP for a whole line of alcohol. Could one of those plan approval
processes -- and it could be at the director level -- be compliance with all conditions including the language provision of some documentation as language we're including in the council office? Would that be my mechanism to accomplish, Dana? I'm just curious my colleagues thoughts.

How do we enforce -- well, if they have to do a plan approval, they have to submit certain aspects and documentation, and that is a mechanism we built in for CUPs, and I wonder if that may be a vehicle as we try and become a forum for embracing equity and trying to stretch a little bit. So I didn't know if Staff or the Commission President had a POD on that.

MS. MILLMAN: Sure. The master conditional use is a process that permits individual tenants or retailers or restaurants to come and secure their conditional use permits for alcohol sales. We did -- it may be a different applicant than the one before us today, so it might be the small Italian restaurant coming in.

To the extent that they would have to demonstrate compliance with the condition, they probably only have control over their individual tenant sign and may not be able to speak to whether or not the property owner at that time has complied with the other
provisions, so $I$ would just add that qualifying statement.

UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: And I would also say that if any modifications to the project are proposed in the future because there is a zone change, that would require a full legislative review to any condition in the zone change.

DAVID: Okay. We're going to call that a strike, and I'm glad to make a motion, Commission President, when you're -- if it's ready or not. I don't know.

MS. MILLMAN: I think Dana wanted to make a motion, but if you wanted to go for it, go for it. Maybe Dana will have a second.

UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: Dana, go right ahead. Okay. I'll need support from the Staff, please.

UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: I'm sorry to interrupt for a second. I wanted to see if the architect was able to respond to that -- the wrapping in the Vista Del Mar corner which is what one of the public comments raised just to make sure that point was addressed and there was a response to that.

MS. MILLMAN: Can we get the project architect?
MS. LAMAS: Cecilia Lamas for the record. Can we get a name?

MS. MILLMAN: I believe that was Tom. I don't believe we have him with us any longer.

TOM: Actually, I'm here. Can you hear me? Okay. Hey, everybody. Yeah, I can address that, but probably the best thing to do is see that -- something that Mr. Alan Como presented. He had a few images and one was a comparison that was taken from Vista Del Mar. Can I ask Alan to bring up his slides?

MS. MILLMAN: Helen, do you need the visual?
HELEN: No, I have it on my screen. The rendering wasn't clear, but, you know, there was attention paid to just wrapping the podium parking at the higher levels, and $I$ just wanted to make sure that the public comment about what it looks like on the pedestrian level on the ground floor level was also just addressed. So, you know, it could be -- it's something that $I$ would love the architect and developer to take a look at to make sure there's consistency in an effort to really enhance the pedestrian environment and wrap the podium parking. I just wanted to make sure that wasn't lost.

TOM: Okay. Yeah, well taken. As I mentioned before, the materials, colors, and our kind of design approach carries all the way around the building. We are sensitive of that. So all the sides have, you know,
good architectural treatment to it, especially at the lower levels where we have a lot of in and out openings and canopies and pedestrian lighting for the sidewalks.

MS. MILLMAN: Thank you.
So, David, if you wanted to take a stab at the motion, please keep in mind that we have technical modifications. Unmute yourself.

DAVID: Excellent.
MS. MILLMAN: There you go.
DAVID: Thanks. And $I$ don't know if it's necessary, but if $I$ can just say move staff recommended actions which include the denial of the appeal, find that the project was assessed in a previously certified EIR, approved and recommends City council approve a zone and height change, approve a density compliance review, approve a master conditional use permit, approve a conditional use permit and approve a site plan review, which is collusive of the staff's recommended actions as well as the provision of the technical modification and the signage condition as read by Luci Ibarra from Planning Staff.

MS. MILLMAN: Do we need to take these separately? Yeah, so for -- I would suggest you move staff's recommendations with the technical modification for item 6 and put everything else in item 7.

DAVID: I move what Samantha Millman just said. UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: I'll second.

MS. MILLMAN: Cecilia, we have a first and a
second. Will you please call for the vote?
MS. LAMAS: Cecilia Lamas for the record.
Commissioner Ambroz?
MR. AMBROZ: Yes.
MS. LAMAS: Commissioner Perlman?
MR. PERLMAN: Yes.
MS. LAMAS: Commissioner Leung?
MS. LEUNG: Yes.
MS. LAMAS: Commissioner Mack?
MS. MACK: Yes.
MS. LAMAS: Commissioner Mitchell?
MR. MITCHELL: Yes.
MS. LAMAS: Commissioner Khorsand?
MR. KHORSAND: Yes.
MS. LAMAS: Commissioner Millman?
MS. MILLMAN: Yes.
MS. LAMAS: And the motion carries.
MS. MILLMAN: Thank you. David, go ahead.
DAVID: Great. I removed the Staff's remaining recommended actions which include technical
modifications as well as signage condition as read into the record today by Luci Ibarra with the Planning Staff.

UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: Can I ask for a friendly amendment?

MS. MILLMAN: Go ahead.
DAVID: Yes.
UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: That we include that the art mural cannot be digital, that the Q condition on the right of return be modified as prior discussion on the record, and that any material changes to -- or substantive changes to the materials, colors, or designs of the podium would have to return to the subcommittee of CPC for approval.

DAVID: Excellent. From the amendment, I accept.

UNDISCLOSED SPEAKER: Thank you. I accept
that.
MS. MILLMAN: Cecilia, we have a first and a second. Will you please call for the vote?

MS. LLAMAS: Cecilia Lamas for the record.
Commissioner Ambroz?
MR. AMBROZ: Yes.
MS. LLAMAS: Commissioner Perlman?
MR. PERLMAN: Yes.
MS. LLAMAS: Commissioner Leung?
MS. LEUNG: Yes.
MS. LLAMAS: Commissioner Mack?

MS. MACK: Yes.
MS. LLAMAS: Commissioner Mitchell?
MR. MITCHELL: Yes.
MS. LLAMAS: Commissioner Khorsand?
MR. KHORSAND: Yes.
MS. LLAMAS: Commissioner Millman?
MS. MILLMAN: Yes.
MS. LLAMAS: And the motion carried.
MS. MILLMAN: Great. The time is 11:23, and we
are adjourned. I will see you in October.
(Session concluded.)
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